







United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/699,818	10/30/2000	Brian L. Ganz		7165		
7	590 08/06/2002					
John R Ross III			EXAMINER			
Ross Patent La P O Box 2138			GORDON, BRIAN R			
Del Mar, CA 92014			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1743			
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/06/2002	DATE MAILED: 08/06/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. GANZ ET AL.					(·)				
Examiner	·	Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Brian R. Gordon 1743	•	09/699,818	·	GANZ ET AL.	,				
The MAILING DATE of this communication applears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Setember of the mary be existable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.18(6). In or evert, however, may a reply be timely filed the period for regly is predicted above is less than thirty (0) days, a reply within the statutory entirement of thirty (0) days, will be considered timely. If the period for regly is predicted above is less than thirty (0) days, a reply within the statutory entirement of thirty (10) days will be considered timely. If the period for regly is predicted above is less than thirty (0) days, a reply within the statutory entirement of thirty (10) days will be considered timely. If the period for regly is predicted above is less than thirty (0) days, and is predicted to the communication, even if limits (10) days will be considered timely. If the period for regly is predicted above is less than thirty (0) days will be considered timely. If the period for regly is predicted before the prediction of the production of the communication, even if limits (10) days will be considered timely. If the period for regly is predicted to the prediction is predicted by the accordance with the prediction of the market of the communication of the communication is condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex partie Queryle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims I) Claim(s)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	<i>A</i>	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Site of the SIX (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of 10 CR 1.15(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely fliad district SIX (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of 10 CR 1.15(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely fliad district SIX (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the SIX (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of this common of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of the six (s) MONTH'S from the making date of the six (s) MONTH	· ·	Brian R. Gordon	1	743					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Enterestimate of time may be assisted in which the protects of a CFR 1706(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely flied. Enterestimate of time may be assisted in which the protect of t									
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 3-5 and 34-36 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 3-5 and 34-36 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cled (PTO-882) 20 Notice of References Cled (PTO-882) 21 Notice of Informal Palent Application (PTO-152)	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in hardender application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Aktachment(s) 10 Altachment(s) 11	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 C	<u> October 2000</u> .							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-fir	nal.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_								
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from considera	ition.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-5 and 34-36 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)									
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5 and 34-36</u> is/are objected to.								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a)☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1)☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		• • •		` '					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Provided The Total Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Alignment Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Discrete Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)								
	2) X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) 🔲	Notice of Informal Pate	TO-413) Paper No(ent Application (PT0	s) O-152)				

)

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Claim 32 recites microplate indexing means, slide positioning means, and a dispense head means. The specification does not make any reference to the elements of the invention as being "means". The specification recites the elements as that as specifically claimed in claim 1. There is no support the elements or function of the elements is provided by some other elements than that which is claimed in claim 1. Therefore claims 1-6 and 32-37 are considered to be essentially duplicate claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-2 and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roach et al. US 20001/0005489 in view of Little US 6,024,925.

Roach et al. discloses a device for transferring fluid from a source such as plate 25 to a substrate 15. FIG. 1 illustrates a robotic instrument for microchannel chemical analysis featuring two parallel tracks 20 and 30 (indexing device), with a gantry 33 spanning the parallel tracks. The tracks, 20 and 30, and the gantry 33 are Parker Daedal linear translation stages, well known in the semiconductor equipment field. The first track 20 aligned along a Y-axis, has parallel rails 17 and 19 having a leadscrew 21

Art Unit: 1743

midway between. The screw 21 is a precision screw driven by a stepper motor so that a first frame 13 (slide positioning station), which rides on rails 17 and 19 by means of roller bearings, may be positioned in a desired location. The motor driving the screw is not shown. The roller bearings make contact with the rails 17 and 19 from the first frame 13. By turning screw 21 the first frame 13 may be precisely positioned in a desired location, such as below the multifunctional device 35 (dispending head means).

The second track 30 with actuator 31, also aligned along a Y-axis, has parallel rails 27 and 29. These rails support the second frame 23 (platform for micorplates) in a low friction rolling relationship. A screw 31 drives the second frame. The second frame carries the microtiter plate 25 as well as other racks or plates which may be seated in holders 14, 16, and 18.

The multifunctional device 35 can move in the Z-axis, perpendicular to the X and Y axes. Motor 43 moves the multifunctional device 35 with up and down motion in the Zaxis. This motion is used to lower the pipette tips 42 held by the multifunctional device 35 into the microchip, the microtiter plate 25, or the pipette tip racks. The movable pipette tips may be lowered for insertion into the microtiter plate to withdraw samples, then are lifted and moved on the gantry to the first track without interference where they make contact with the microchip for sample loading.

Roach et al. does not disclose that the substrate is a slide or that the device further comprises a light source, camera, and programmable computer.

Little et al. discloses parallel dispensing tools that can deliver defined and controlled volumes of fluid to generate multi-element arrays of sample material on a Art Unit: 1743

substrate surface. The substrates surfaces can be flat (slides) or geometrically altered to include wells of receiving material. FIG. 1 depicts a system 10 that includes a data processor 12, a motion controller 14, a robotic arm assembly 16, a monitor element 18A, a central processing unit 18B, a microliter plate of source material 20, a stage housing 22, a robotic arm 24, a stage 26 (isolated base), a pressure controller 28, a conduit 30, a mounting assembly 32, a pin assembly 38 (dispense head), and substrate elements 34. The interior chamber can be connected to a pressure source that will control the pressure within the interior chamber to regulate the flow of fluid within the interior chamber of the pins. In the view shown by FIG. 1, it is also illustrated that the robotic assembly 16 can include a moveable mount element 40 and a horizontal slide groove 42. The robotic arm 24 can optionally pivot about a pin 36 to increase the travel range of the arm 24 so that arm 24 can dispose the pin assembly 38 above the source plate 20. The data processor 12 depicted in FIG. 1 can be a conventional digital data processing system such as an IBM PC compatible computer system that is suitable for processing data and for executing program instructions that will provide information for controlling the movement and operation of the robotic assembly 16. It will be apparent to one skilled in the art that the data processor unit 12 can be any type of system suitable for processing a program of instructions signals that will operate the robotic assembly 16. Optionally the data processor 12 can be a micro-controlled assembly that is integrated into the robotic housing 16. In further alternative embodiments, the system 10 need not be programmable and can be a single board computer having a firmware memory for storing instructions for operating the robotic assembly 16. In the

embodiment depicted in FIG. 1, there is a controller 14 that electronically couples between the data processor 12 and the robotic assembly 16. The depicted controller 14 is a motion controller that drives the motor elements of the robotic assembly 16 for positioning the robotic arm 24 at a selected location. Additionally, the controller 14 can provide instructions to the robotic assembly 16 to direct the pressure controller 28 to control the volume of fluid ejected from the individual pin elements of the depicted pin assembly 38. The depicted robotic assembly 16 is a gantry system that includes an XY table for moving the robotic arm about an XY plane, and further includes a Z axis actuator (linear actuators) for moving the robotic arm orthogonally to that XY plane.

FIG. 6A is a piezo electric transducer element which forms around the parameter of the capillary 112 and can transform an electrical pulse received from the pulse generator within a robotic assembly 16 to cause fluid to eject from the orifice 118 of the capillary 112.

After depositing the sample arrays onto the surface of the substrate, the arrays can be analyzed using any of a variety of means (e.g., spectrometric techniques, such as UV/IVIS, IR, fluorescence, chemiluminescence, NMR spectroscopy or mass spectrometry).

The matrix drops were observed by employing visualization via a CCD camera (column 16, lines 56-65).

It is also revealed that a windows based control software maybe employed as well as a strobe light is used to illuminate the tip of the dispenser in order for a camera to be employed to check the integrity and cleanliness of the tip.

Art Unit: 1743

Although Little does not mention that the substrate is a slide, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the flat substrate could be a slide. Furthermore it would have been also obvious to ordinary skill in the art modify the device of Roach to include the flat surface (slide) of Little to which sample arrays are dispensed to for identifying the presence of biomolecules (such as DNA) and their characteristics. It would have been obvious to incorporate the computer and processor of Little into the device of Roach to be programmed to perform various functions in the processing of the sample arrays such as the automated dispensing of the fluid to the substrates (via the robotic arm), analysis of the fluid samples, collection of the data, processing the data, and controlling the mechanical means for transporting the substrates throughout the device. It would have also been obvious to employ the use of the camera and strobe light of Little to check the cleanliness of the dispense head.

7. Claims 6-15, 17-19, 21-24, 26-27, 29, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over over Roach et al. in view of Little as applied to claims 1-2 and 32-33 above, further in view of Palcic et al. US 6,026,174.

Although Roach in view of Little recites that a CCD Camera may be employed for visualization, it is not specifically recited that the camera supplies image data to a computer for analysis.

However, Palcic et al. US 6,026,174 discloses a system and method for automatically detecting diagnostic cells and cells having malignancy-associated changes (MAC).

The MAC detection system according to the present invention is shown in FIG. 1.

Art Unit: 1743

The system 10 includes a digital microscope 12 that is controlled by and interfaced with a computer system 30. The microscope 12 preferably has a digital CCD camera 14 employing a scientific CCD having square pixels of approximately 0.3 μm by 0.3 μm size. The scientific CCD has a 100% fill factor and at least a 256 gray level resolution. The CCD camera is preferably mounted in the primary image plane of a planar objective lens 22 of the microscope 12. A stable light source 18, preferably with feedback control, illuminates the cell sample while an image of the slide is being captured by the CCD camera. The lens 22 placed between the sample 16 and the CCD camera 14 is preferably a 2x/0.75 objective that provides a depth of field in the range of 1-2 mm that yields a distortion-free image.

The images produced by the CCD camera are received by an image processing board 32 that serves as the interface between the digital camera 14 and the computer system 30. The digital images are stored in the image processing board and manipulated to facilitate the detection of MACs. The image processing board creates a set of analog video signals from the digital image and feeds the video signals to an image monitor 36 in order to display an image of the objects viewed by the microscope.

An image of a frame from the slide is captured by the CCD camera and is transferred into the image processor. In this process, the CCD sensor within the camera cleared and a shutter of the camera is opened for a fixed period that is dependent on the intensity of the light source 18. After the image is optimized according to the steps described below, the stage then moves to a new position on the slide such that another image of the new frame can be captured by the camera and transferred into the

Art Unit: 1743

computer memory. Because the cell sample on the slide occupies a much greater area than the area viewed by the microscope, a number of slide images are used to determine whether the sample is MAC-positive or negative. The position of each captured image on the slide is recorded in the computer system so that the objects of interest in the image can be found on the slide if desired.

Once an image from the slide is captured by the CCD camera and stored in the image processing board, the computer system determines whether the image produced by the CCD camera is devoid of objects. This is performed by scanning the digital image for dark pixels. If the number of dark pixels, i.e., those pixels having an intensity of the background intensity minus a predetermined offset value, is fewer than a predetermined minimum, the computer system assumes that the image is blank and the microscope stage is moved to a new position at step 60 and a new image is captured at step 54.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the modified the modified device of Roach to allow for subsequent analysis of the deposited sample arrays in order to determine the presence of biological organisms and their characteristics. It would have also been obvious to use the camera of Little as employed by the method of Palcic and using the CCD camera present as an additional form or means of analysis (additional to e.g., spectrometric techniques, such as UV/IVIS, IR, fluorescence, chemiluminescence, NMR spectroscopy or mass spectrometry) for the samples deposited on the substrate.

As to claims 7-15 and 19, the examiner hereby submits that the claims are not

Art Unit: 1743

structural limitations of the device but are moreso directed to the capability of the device and the data transmitted from the camera to the computer. The modified device of Roach is capable of performing in the manner recited in those claims.

As to claim 24, it would have also been obvious to provide the array on a vibration isolated base when one is attempting to dispense a precise volume of fluid when required.

8. Claims 16, 20, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roach et al. in view of Little and Palcic et al. as applied to claims 6-15, 17-19, 21-24, 26-27, 29, and 37 above, and further in view of Ridgeway et al. US 5,879,628.

Roach in view of Little and Palcic does not disclose that a 2D bar code is used for identification of information.

Ridgeway discloses a device for handling samples in which a CPU maybe employed to control all operations. A bar code is also provided for containing information that is related to the reagents. The information can also be entered by way of a touch screen in conjunction with the keyboard. The device also employs the use of a rinsing station for flushing the inside and outside of the needle of the syringe system.

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill to modify the modified device of Roach by employing the teachings of Ridgeway to include a washing station to allow for the reduction of cross-contamination and a bar code to store the related about the assay slide. As to the vacuum manifold, it would have been obvious to use a vacuum manifold as the pressure source for aspirating and dispensing the reagents for

Application/Control Number: 09/699,818 Page 11

Art Unit: 1743

the array.

9. Claims 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roach et al. in view of Little and Palcic et al. as applied to claims 6-15, 17-19, 21-24, 26-27, 29, and 37 above, and further in view of Overbeck.

Roach et al. in view of Little and Palcic et al. does not disclose the use of quill type dispensers.

Overbeck discloses a fluid deposit assembly in which piezo and quill type dispensers are used to jet small volumes of fluid to a substrate.

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the modified device of Little by employing the teachings of Overbeck for quill and piezo dispensers are capable of depositing very small volumes of fluid as well as the employment of quill tips allows one to suck up a desired amount of fluid (column 2 lines 8-18).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 3-5 and 34-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Roach does not recite a microarray device that comprises an indexing device that comprises an input stacking chamber, an output stacking chamber, a walking bean indexer disposed between the input and output stacking chambers, and a lid lifter.

Art Unit: 1743

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Kraemer et al., Haas et al., Kalra et al., Kowallis, Pfost et al.,

Shumate et al., Higuchi, Tisone et al., Hays et al., Shimizu, Bochner, Rushbrooke et al.,

Leber et al., Lee et al., Molnar et al., Harper et al., Douglass et al., Yahiro, Nakano,

Fawcett, Ally et al., Maracas et al., DeStefano, Jr., Mezei et al., Hewett et al., Marcelli,

and Lancaster disclose liquid transfer devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian R. Gordon whose telephone number is (703) 305-

0399. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, with 2nd and 4th F off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7719 for

regular communications and (703) 305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0661.

brg

July 26, 2002

Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700

Page 12